



Implementing EU HTA at National Level: Adjustments to the AMNOG Module Template

The following information are based on the G-BA information event "Countdown to EU HTA: What Changes Lie Ahead for the AMNOG Process?" held on 22 May 2025 and the G-BA resolution of 17 July 2025 on the Amendment of Chapter 5 of the Rules of Procedure -Amendments resulting from Regulation (EU) 2021/2282 EU HTA and the First Regulation amending the Benefit Assessment Regulation.

These changes are subject to approval by the BMG and publication in the Federal Gazette in accordance with Section 91 (4) SGB V.

	Module	Reference option?
	Module 1	All required information in Module 1 must be provided without referencing the JCA dossier.
	Module 2	To be completed without references: Section 2.1.1 (Administrative information on the medicinal product) Section 2.2 (Approved Indications)
		Throughout all other sections, references to the JCA dossier may be made as appropriate.
	Module 3	To be completed without references: Section 3.1 (Definition of the appropriate comparator therapy) Section 3.2.3, 3.2.4, 3.2.5 (Patient numbers) Section 3.3 (Costs of therapy for the GKV) Section 3.4.1 (Requirements from the SmPC) Section 3.5 (EBM) Section 3.6 (Proportion of study participants in Germany) Throughout all other sections, references to the JCA dossier may be made as appropriate.
	Module 4	To be completed without references: Section 4.2.1 (Research question) Section 4.4 (Final Assessment of the documentation demonstrating added benefit) Throughout all other sections, references to the JCA dossier may be made as appropriate.
	Module 5	The file must be placed in the designated subdirectory and must include a reference to the JCA dossier. Please ensure compliance with the current coding specifications.

References should indicate the lowest available level of document structuring (e.g., section, subsection).

When referring to tables or figures, the corresponding table or figure number must be specified.



Patient-individual

Individualized therapy







Availability of the JCA report at the time of dossier Resolution / **IQWiG benefit assessment Consultation Process** submission **Justification** Scenario 1: JCA JCA report is JCA report is available report JCA report is considered. considered. at the time of dossier The G-BA provides both submission Benefit Resolution a link to the publicly accessible EC webpage JCA report is There is no legal obligation for Scenario 2: containing the JCA considered. IQWiG/G-BA to consider the JCA report is available dossier and report, as report JCA report; however, both after dossier submission well as a reference to institutions are involved in the but before the publication the PICO relevant for report development through the of the benefit assessment Benefit the benefit assessment. JCA Subgroup. Scenario 3: JCA report is available JCA reports published after the start of the consultation procedure cannot be formally after the start of the considered. consultation procedure. Benefit assessment

Current dossier template

New dossier template (resolution of 17 July 2025)

Safety endpoints

- Overall rate of AE differentiated by severity
- A priori defined adverse events of special interest (AESI) as well as predefined SOC-wide adverse event analyses (e.g., as SMQs)
- - Severe adverse events with CTCAE ≥ 3 Clarification - already corresponds to practical implementation in Module 4
- Requirement no longer applies

Subgroup analyses

- If the available information indicates potential additional effect modifiers, these may also be included with justification.
- Results from a priori planned subgroup analyses defined in the study protocol for patient-relevant endpoints must always be presented.
- Restriction to subgroup analyses for gender, age, disease severity or stage, and center and country effects
 - If multiple definitions or operationalizations exist for disease severity, the selection must be justified.
- If available information suggests additional potential effect modifiers, these should also be included with justification
- Results from subgroup analyses of stratification factors defined in the study protocol must always be presented.
- As a general rule, the definition and operationalization of subgroups, including cut-off values, should be based on a priori planned subgroup analyses specified in the study documentation.

Requirements for further analysis

Not previously required

- Follow-up therapies administered after discontinuation of study medication (for oncological indications, separate information on the first follow-up therapy) Clarification - already corresponds to practical implementation in Module 4
- Kaplan-Meier curves for survival analyses
- Specification: Kaplan-Meier curves including information on patients at risk and proportion of censored patients over time
 - Clarification already corresponds to practical implementation in Module 4

Requirements for searching clinical trial registries and results databases

- International Clinical Trials Registry Platform Search Portal (ICTRP Search Portal)
- Requirement no longer applies
- Pharmaceutical Information System (AMIS / AMIce)
- Requirement no longer applies

Not previously required

EMBASE



Contact

Dr. Thomas Ecker Phone: +49 40 4133081-10

t.ecker@ecker-ecker.de t.ecker@accessus-health.eu

www.ecker-ecker.de www.accessus-health.eu

